United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	V.)) = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
	MELVIN TAYLOR, JR.) Case No. 5:15-CR-250-1H)	
	Defendant)	
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL			
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail hat the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	Part I—Fin	dings of Fact	
\square (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted			
(of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offen	se that would have been a federal offense if federal	
	jurisdiction had existed - that is		
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more	3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.	
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		.*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had be described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:	
	\Box any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:	
	☐ a minor victim		
	\Box the possession or use of a firearm or dest	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	50	
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release	
	from prison for the offense described in finding ().	
□ (4)		presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety nd that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
	Alternative	Findings (A)	
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten year		
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	·	
	· · · · · ·		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
1 (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and
	cing evidence \Box a preponderance of the evidence that Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
	For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community. The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment The apparent strength of the government's case The lack of a suitable custodian The indication of substance abuse The fact that the charges arose while on state probation The defendant's criminal history The history of probation revocations Other:
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention
pendin order o	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement rections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody g appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility eliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.
Date:	November 3, 2015 **Movember 3, 2015 **Lobert T. Vlumkus II **Judge's signature**

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title